The Evolution of Cloud Computing in ATLAS

Ryan Taylor

University of Victoria

on behalf of the ATLAS collaboration

ATLAS EXPERIMENT

21st International Conference on Computing in High Energy and Nuclear Physics **CHEP2015** Okinawa Japan: April 13 - 17, 2015

The Clouds of ATLAS



IaaS Resource Management

- Primarily using HTCondor + Cloud Scheduler
  - See talk 131 "HEP cloud production using the CloudScheduler/HTCondor Architecture" (C210, Tuesday PM)
  - In operation for ATLAS for > 3 years
- Dynamic Condor slots to handle arbitrary job requirements
  - e.g. single-core, multi-core, high-mem
- uCernVM image
- Contextualization using cloud-init
- Using *Glint* Image Management System
  - see poster 304

Shoal
Proxy Cache "Federator"

- Build a fabric of proxy caches
  - configurationless topology
  - robust
  - scalable
- Needed to run uCernVM at scale
  - By default, DIRECT connection to closest Stratum 0/1
  - Contextualize instances to find proxy using Shoal

```
[ucernvm-begin]
CVMFS_PAC_URLS=http://shoal.heprc.uvic.ca/wpad.dat
CVMFS_HTTP_PROXY=auto
[ucernvm-end]
```

- Also use Shoal for Frontier access
  - Currently under investigation



Grid/Cloud Site Performance Comparison

- Used Hammercloud stress tests
- Ran continuous stream of jobs on each site for 24 hours
- Data and squid accessed from grid site

WLCG Worldwide LHC Computing Grid

UKI-NORTHGRID-LANCS-HEP_SL6



~2000 cores
Intel E5-2650 v2

openstack

UKI-NORTHGRID-LANCS-HEP_CLOUD

~200 cores
Intel Core i7 9xx (Nehalem Class Core i7)

Success rate similar

HC 20052434
MC12 AtlasG4_trf 17.2.2.2

- Software setup time
  - Relies on CVMFS cache and Squid proxy
  - VMs have to fill up empty cache



(15 ± 7) s



(45 ± 15) s

- Data stage-in time
  - Local vs. remote storage access



(11 ± 4) s



(54 ± 20) s

- Total running time
  - 1.5x longer on cloud
  - different CPUs
  - hyperthreading?
  - data & software access time not significant





- CPU efficiency equal!





- Conclusion
  - cloud usage is efficient
  - no significant performance penalty

HS06 Benchmarking Study

- Commercial clouds provide on-demand scalability
  - e.g. urgent need for beyond pledged resources
- But how cost-effective are they?
- Comparison to institutional clouds


ATLAS Preliminary
Cloud Benchmarking
VM Type
GCE; Standard
n1-standard-1
n1-standard-2
n1-standard-4
n1-standard-8
n1-standard-16
GCE; High CPU
n1-highcpu-2
n1-highcpu-4
n1-highcpu-8
n1-highcpu-16
Amazon EC2
m3.large
m3.xlarge
m3.2xlarge
cc-west
c2.low
c4.low
c8.low
c16.low
cc-east
c4.low
c8.low
c16.low
50
100
150
200
250
HS06 Score


ATLAS Preliminary
Cloud Benchmarking
VM Type
GCE; Standard
n1-standard-1
n1-standard-2
n1-standard-4
n1-standard-8
n1-standard-16
GCE; High CPU
n1-highcpu-2
n1-highcpu-4
n1-highcpu-8
n1-highcpu-16
Amazon EC2
m3.large
m3.xlarge
m3.2xlarge
cc-west
c2.low
c4.low
c8.low
c16.low
cc-east
c4.low
c8.low
c16.low
5
10
15
20
25
HS06 Score / Number of vCPUs

Cloud Monitoring

- VM management becomes the responsibility of the VO
- Basic monitoring is required
  - Detect and restart problematic VMs
  - Identify “dark” resources (deployed but unusable)
  - Can identify inconsistencies in other systems through cross-checks
- Common framework for all VOs
- Implemented with Ganglia
- http://agm.cern.ch



Cloud Accounting

- Provider-side: commercial invoice for resources delivered
- Consumer-side: record resources consumed
- Need to cross-check invoice against recorded usage!

http://cloud-acc-dev.cern.ch/monitoring/ATLAS

JSON

VO: ATLAS MONTH: FEBRUARY YEAR: 2015 Search:

Site	Wall Duration(h)	CPU Duration(h)	CPU Count	Network Inbound(Gb)	Network outbound(Gb)	Memory(GB)	Disk(GB)
ANALY_NECTAR	1345684.93	909621.80	132.71	1160206.53	169450.58	3347.57	125998.71
BIFI	317963.41	335909.38	28.34	284018.06	12353.41	867.31	32572.42
CERN-PROD_CLOUD	7441207.81	4618307.88	748.26	2028791.80	206220.29	13275.43	609617.97
CESNET-METACLOUD	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CETA-GRID	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
DBCE	138418.61	9433.99	8.30	5389.89	160.14	37.88	6964.81
FZJ	99643.91	94402.51	10.91	118243.78	2887.58	373.50	18966.25
GRIDPP_CLOUD	65524.94	36181.48	7.31	2141.50	184.52	94.78	7222.18
HELIX_NEBULA_ATOS	561591.75	216889.48	35.41	31936.93	2911.78	555.35	29481.60
HELIX_NEBULA_CloudSigma	415.49	216.53	0.12	938.36	12.98	1.51	91.28
HELIX_NEBULA_TSystems	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
IAAS	476845.83	317833.51	48.86	120680.72	13853.08	484.93	33280.22
IAAS_MCORE	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Sim@P1



- Resource contribution similar to T1
  - 34M CPU hours, 1.1B MC events
- Used for LHC stops > 24h
- Fast automated switching via web GUI for shifters
  - TDAQ to Sim@P1: 1h (check Nova DB, start VMs)
  - Sim@P1 to TDAQ: 12m (graceful VM shutdown, update DB)
  - Emergency switch to TDAQ: 100s (immediate termination)
- See poster 169

Conclusion

- Increasing use of clouds in ATLAS Distributed Computing
- More integration into operational model
  - support, accounting, monitoring
- Gaining operational experience
- Developing and deploying services to facilitate cloud use
  - Towards uniform configuration for jobs on any cloud, anywhere

Extra Material

Shoal | Latest | Services

http://shoal.heprc.uvic.ca

List of Active Squids

5 active in the last 180 seconds

#	Hostname	Public IP	Private IP	Bytes Out	City	Region	Country	Latitude	Longitude	Last Received	Alive	Verified	Access Level
1	squid-test01.gridpp.rl.ac.uk	130.246.183.249		0 kB/s	Appleton		United Kingdom	51.7	-1.35	7s	42h40m43s	✔	Global
2	kraken01.westgrid.ca	206.12.48.249	172.22.2.25	809 kB/s	Vancouver		Canada	49.2836	-123.1041	10s	107h49m9s	✔	Global
3	atlascaq3.triumf.ca	142.90.110.68		0 kB/s	Vancouver		Canada	49.2765	-123.2177	20s	166h20m3s	✔	Global
4	atlas-squid.cern.ch	128.142.200.105		0 kB/s	Geneva		Switzerland	46.1956	6.1481	22s	166h19m59s	✘	Global
5	t2software03.physics.ox.ac.uk	163.1.5.175		35 kB/s	Oxford		United Kingdom	51.75	-1.25	26s	166h18m56s	✔	Global

Shoal-Server v0.7.1

PAC Interface



JSON REST Interface



- github.com/hep-gc/shoal
- CHEP 2013 Poster


dashboard
CPU consumption Good Jobs in seconds
11000 Hours from Week 00 of 2014 to Week 13 of 2015 UTC
1e10
3.0
2.5
2.0
1.5
1.0
0.5
0.0
Mar 2014
Jun 2014
Sep 2014
Dec 2014
Mar 2015
http://cern.ch/go/MBV8
CERN-PROD (15,134,960,836)
AUSTRALIA-NECTAR (1,812,449,542)
UKI-GRIDPP-CLOUD-IC (74,660,812)
IAAS (8,373,679,904)
GRIDPP_CLOUD (551,154,992)
unknown (242,844)
BNL-ATLAS (4,355,994,060)
UKI-NORTHGRID-LANCS-HEP (363,273,407)
Total: 30,666,416,397 , Average Rate: 774.38 /s

http://cern.ch/go/HB9m



Dynamic Federation

- Externally developed and supported
- Experiment-agnostic, general-purpose
  - Could be adopted by multiple experiments
  - e.g. LHCb demo: http://federation.desy.de/fed/lhcb/
- Metadata plugin used to emulate Rucio directory structure

Dynamic Federation

- High-performance
  - aggressive metadata caching in RAM
  - maximal concurrency, scalability
  - 2 servers could meet needs of whole ATLAS experiment
- Ease of use
  - simple, lightweight, stateless
  - standard components and protocols, not HEP-specific
  - no site action needed to join federation
  - automatic extraction of endpoint configuration from AGIS
- Dynamic
  - automatically detect and avoid offline endpoints
  - federating is done on-the-fly